## 2024 JUDGE EVALUATIONS

Competitors: Complete the evaluation form and return it to the bailiff at the end of each round. This information will enable us to continue to improve the competition. Following each statement below, please insert the number that best corresponds with your assessment.
Date:_Rome_Round: P1 P2 P3 Q S (Circle One)

## NOTE: 5 IS THE HIGHEST RATING

5-STRONGLY AGREE
4-AGREE
3-NEUTRAL
2-DISAGREE
1-STRONGLY DISAGREE

Judge Name: Judge Name: Judge Name: Judge Name:

| The Judge was well prepared and understood the brief. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The Judge actively participated in the proceedings. |  |  |  |
| The Judge asked my team clear and understandable questions <br> concerning relevant issues. |  |  |  |
| The Judge asked other teams clear and understandable questions <br> concerning relevant issues. |  |  |  |
| The Judge allowed my team adequate opportunities to explain its <br> positions. |  |  |  |
| The Judge was a consistent and neutral questioner. |  |  |  |
| The Judge did not monopolize the proceedings. |  |  |  |
| The Judge displayed proper sense of decorum during the Moot. |  |  |  |
| The Judge should be invited back next year. |  |  |  |

Comments:

